John Coleman, Global Warming, C02, Greenhouse gases

Global warming is a big fat lie and the science behind it is fake: John Coleman

By Vamien McKalin. Tech Times | October 25, 7:19 AM

f SHARE(612)

▼ TWEET(63)





Global warming has always been thought to be something that could turn out to be a deadly catastrophe. However, if what John Coleman is saying is true, then we are being lied to.

John Coleman, co-founder of the Weather Channel, shocked the world and the scientific community when he claimed that there is little scientific evidence to prove that global warming is real, and that the whole thing has become nothing but a political tool that is not backed by true scientific evidence.

We understand that Coleman wrote an open letter to organizers of the Hammer Forum at UCLA that is aimed at the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The Oct. 23 forum topic was "Tackling Climate Change Nationally and Globally," and Coleman urged fourm organizers to re-examine their plan to present two speakers who believe scientific evidence supports climate change. Coleman claims that reports of ice sheets melting away are lies, and that heat waves are not increasing, but diminishing instead. He also claimed that the polar bear population is rising rather than falling.

"The ocean is not rising significantly. The polar ice is increasing, not melting away. Polar bears are increasing in number. Heat waves have actually diminished, not increased. There is not an uptick in the number or strength of storms (in fact, storms are diminishing)," says Coleman. "I have studied this topic seriously for years. It has become a political and environment agenda item, but the science is not valid."

Coleman went on to add that he based most of his views on the findings of the Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC), an international body that says "because it is not a government agency, and because its members are not predisposed to believe climate change is caused by human greenhouse gas emissions, NIPCC is able to offer an independent 'second opinion' of the evidence reviewed - or not reviewed - by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) on the issue of global warming."

"There is no significant man-made global warming at this time, there has been none in the past and there is no reason to fear any in the future," Coleman writes. "Efforts to prove the theory that carbon dioxide is a significant greenhouse gas and pollutant causing significant warming or weather effects have failed.

"There has been no warming over 18 years."

From the statements he's making, it is clear that Coleman believes wholeheartedly that he's correct, and is willing to put his neck on the line to bring this to the attention of the world.

If the man is indeed telling the truth, then this is probably one of the biggest reveals in the history of man.

We await anything the global warming community has to say about Coleman's statement, along with responses from scientists and politicians alike. It would be very interesting to see what they have to say to what Coleman is claiming, and if they can come up with the proof to shut him down.

Sponsored Content on Tech Times







Most Commented

Google Nexus 6 with 5.96-inch Quad HD AMOLED display passes through FCC ahead of release

100-year-old notebook found encased in Antarctic ice is part of Robert Scott's expedition





Ditch the Paper: 3 Apps to Help Your Business Go Paperless Sponsored by: Dropbox

Paperwork. If you're like most people, the mere mention of that word sends a chill up vour spine.

Related Articles

EU Climate Summit: Compromises and progress

Methane-munching, rock-dwelling microbes in deep ocean may be our best bet against global warming

Climate change isn't to blame for changes in forest composition


Unprecedented sea level rise in past 150 years linked to climate change

Natural gas isn't key to greener future, says study

Methane-ingesting microbes inhabit deep sea: Sink for greenhouse gases?

Climate change will intensify global conflict: Pentagon

© 2014 Tech Times, All rights reserved. Do not reproduce without permission.



lash out-of-date

183 Comments

TechTimes



Sort by Best ▼



Join the discussion...



Roderick Anderson • 3 days ago

john coleman, what a douchebag. he has the nerve to pawn himself off as a scientist and cites an el nino spike in 1998 as proof that the earth isn't warming? look it up sometime, people like him keep saying the earth hasn't warmed in 18 years but reference an el nino extra warm spike in 1998 as proof. LIARS!

19 ^ V . Reply . Share



LessGovernment76 → Roderick Anderson • 2 days ago

You should look in the mirror. The douchebag is you. Look at your politically motivated rhetoric global warming is not happening. your shill scientists can't even account for why. This is because their research isn't based on science. They ignore other scientific disciplines findings because it goes against their politically motivated agenda of taxation. You are just their useful idiot cheering on your own enslavement. 18 ^ V . Reply . Share



VWWV → LessGovernment76 · 2 days ago

27 OCTOBER '14

OS 8.1 battery life tips that will fix if Plus, iPad and iPod touch battery c

Flashlight apps are spying on users Android, iOS, Windows Phone smartphones, is yours on the list?

Samsung Galaxy Note 4 stock limited, sold out at Best Buy, Amazon, Costco, AT&T, Verizon and Sprint









Email Newsletter

Enter your email and get it r



Space X capsule brings experiment samples back to Earth from ISS

Megalodon shark's extinction may have led whales to grow in size

New Samsung Galaxy Note 4 disco lowers price: T-Mobile, Sprint, Veriz Buy - which to choose?

Global warming is a big fat lie and t behind it is fake: John Coleman

Alan Eustace hits 822 mph to shatte Baumgartner skydive record: Here's beat Red Bull (Video)





and you wish that basic physics didn't apply to you, but unfortunately it does 17 ^ V . Reply . Share



LessGovernment76 → VWWV · 2 days ago

You wish intelligence could be taught in college but alas it can't and isn't...You are either born with it or you are not. You fit under the latter category as you have misapplied Physics several times over in your posts on this article.

8 ^ | v . Reply . Share



VWWV → LessGovernment76 · 2 days ago

no, when you apply infrared radiation to CO2, it warms. That's physics. Challenging my intelligence through an anonymous internet screenname is pretty clear proof you don't know anything about this issue. Try knowledge. try advancing something a little better than "a liberal conspiracy"

16 ^ V Reply Share



LessGovernment76 → VWWV · 2 days ago

Yes and VWWV is your real name I assume? So your point to say I have no knowledge would also apply to yourself would it not? What does that say about your intelligence level...I would say it paints a pretty vivid picture wouldn't you. In fact you are referencing Thermal Dynamics and in a closed experiment you might see those expected results but the earth is not a closed system. I didn't say it was a Liberal Conspiracy Liberals are just the useful idiots being used and it's obvious when one looks at your ranting why.

4 ^ | v . Reply . Share



Bart_R → LessGovernment76 · 2 days ago

http://www.woodfortrees.org/pl...

You also see the warming in the real world on climate scale, without pause.

8 ^ | V . Reply . Share



Pete Wallace → LessGovernment76 • 11 hours ago

Bullshit baffles brains -- that's how the global warming brigade work 1 ^ | V . Reply . Share



carbonated_turtle → LessGovernment76 · 2 days ago

Where do you idiots get your information other than from ignorant talking heads on Fox News? 12 ^ V . Reply . Share



LessGovernment76 → carbonated_turtle • 2 days ago

It is obvious where your information comes from by your statement. No one has brought up Fox or mentioned it as a source. Kudos on being a run of the mill Liberal asshat.

4 ^ | v . Reply . Share



carbonated_turtle → LessGovernment76 · 2 days ago

That's funny, because I didn't mention I was Liberal. In fact, I've never voted Liberal in my life, Cletus.

Kudos on ignoring the entire respected scientific community, and listening to an uneducated idiot who started a television station.

14 A V Reply . Share



LessGovernment76 → carbonated_turtle · 2 days ago

It's funny then that you make such a stereotypical Liberal comment then seems you get your information from drive by media clips. I do not believe you that you don't vote Liberal but that is not important. What is however is how you say I am ignoring an entire community of respected scientists. That is totally untrue and to call John an uneducated idiot makes you totally laughable especially after your remarks. What have you done with your life ever created anything but a bowel movement? The truth is there are many respected scientists that disagree with man caused climate change. Why don't you stop listening to the propaganda polar opposites of Fox. http://www.petitionproject.org...

1 ^ | V . Reply . Share

The best Halloween costumes for o

Netflix November 2014: Catch thes TV shows before they leave Instant

Astronomers observe exploding fire of nova

'Destiny': How to get the best exoti legendary gear

Netflix November 2014: Check out movies are being added to Instant S

Best ways to preserve battery life for iPhone 6

'Destiny' public events timed and m your convenience

iPhone, iPad users report Apple iOs problems: Battery drainage, reboot connectivity issues, and more

Best cases for the iPhone 6: 10 iPh to protect your new phone



Ben Helvensteijn → Roderick Anderson • 2 days ago

More important than that is that referring to the last 18 years as being significant is in itself a not so tacit admission of not understanding that statistically speaking an 18 year period is not too relevant. Simply look at the well-documented record over the past 100+ years. Add to that the fact that the world's thermal system is in large part determined by the oceans which haven't been studied in detail very much until relatively recently. That while the greatest heat content on Earth is in the oceans. It's like talking about knowing what people are like by only looking at toddlers. That would be just as ludicrous.

3 ^ V . Reply . Share



Margaret F → Ben Helvensteijn · 2 minutes ago

Blah blah blah blah... Your self importance is sooooo underwhelming.

∧ | ∨ . Reply . Share >



Margaret F → Roderick Anderson • 7 minutes ago

You're a fricking idiot. You should actually do some research yourself. The scientific data proving global warming is fake. It has been proven as such. And if you think power brokers and big money leftist corporations aren't banking on your stupidity... Then you're even more of an imbecile.



Ben Helvensteijn → Roderick Anderson • 4 hours ago

Stop the presses: "Journalist" Coleman (sensationalist if you'd ask me) takes on Big Science. Would be nice if he'd have at least some minor background in science, but sadly: No.



Pete Wallace → Roderick Anderson • 11 hours ago

Anderson--your a complete pillock -- taxation rules and that's why politicions promote global warming plus jobs for the boys

∧ | ∨ . Reply . Share >



Texan78730 → Pete Wallace • 2 hours ago

The word is "you're", not your.



Beto Sabio · 3 days ago

Satellite photos over the last 15 years are Proof Arctic Ice is Melting! Everyone can see this! John Coleman is not a climate scientist, and he seems to have signs of Dementia for climate change denial. He founded a tv weather show. What does that prove?

Ron Hotchkiss A Beto Sabio · 2 days ago

Antarctic ice is at record highs. Arctic ice is nearing a ten year high, and climbing, and is vey close to being normal thanks to a near record cold summer. Northern hemisphere snow cover set a record in September backing the claims of colder than normal temps. Meanwhile NASA says it is record warm. Either NASA is

18 ^ V . Reply . Share



carbonated_turtle → Ron Hotchkiss • 2 days ago

16 ^ V . Reply . Share



Ron Hotchkiss → carbonated_turtle • 2 days ago

The facts are available at any one of the many polar ice monitoring sites. Sunshinehours has the most viewer friendly graphics. Also, satellite data showing the ice extent is wildly attainable. Either you are computer illiterate, blind or you have absolutely no idea what you are talking about. Since you were able to post a comment, it is obvious that you are the latter 9 A V Reply . Share >



carbonated_turtle → Ron Hotchkiss • 2 days ago

Thanks, so you don't have any evidence. I already knew that. Either post some from a

How to watch NASA's rocket launc



























lying, or the satellites are lying. Ground observations back the actual satellite data.

Making up "facts" doesn't make you right, it just makes you look stupid.



reputable source yourself, or shut the fuck up. And no, your Sunshine Hours Wordpress blog doesn't count as reputable, professor.

By the way, did you know that unicorns are real and they shit popcorn? Look it up! You'll find all facts on www.youreanignorantredneck.com

Edit: And here are the actual facts. Guess what?! You were absolutely wrong. Big surprise. But be careful. You should only click this link if you don't get confused by big words.

http://nsidc.org/arcticseaicen...

12 ^ V . Reply . Share



Ron Hotchkiss → carbonated_turtle • 2 days ago

You just proved yourself the idiot. Arctic sea ice is up over 60% from 2012. Do you see the grey area? That is considered within the bounds of normal, and can vary by one to 2 standard deviations within one year. I suppose sunshinehours, DMI, NASA are not reputable sources? Informed people already know these sites and keep up with this information on a regular basis. While you are at it why don't you look up Antarctic ice information? While Arctic ice has climbed back into the bounds of what is considered a normal deviation, ice in the antarctic has obliterated previous records for most ice ever in the satellite era this year, and has set the 200th daily record for ice extent for 2014.

Big potty mouth words really show your intelligence, since obviously you are unable to think of better civilized words.

Oh, and on closing, the Northern hemisphere has set a September record for most snow cover. I suppose you alarmist will blame that on warmer weather. Proof once again that the alarmist have absolutely no clue to what they are talking about. That would be you. Oh, and sunshine hours mirrors all the other sites for actual numbers. But because they point out a few facts that are displeasing to you, you consider them not credible. Go back to huff po, they make stuff up and you consider them credible? Rotfl

5 ^ V Reply . Share



carbonated_turtle → Ron Hotchkiss • 2 days ago

You're going to use a 2 year sample period to prove your point, and you call me the idiot? Scroll down to where you see "September 2014 compared to previous years" and tell me if you see any pattern over an amount of time that matters.

You're literally one of these geniuses that says "it's colder this winter than it was last winter, so global warming is a hoax". What are you going to come up with next? There's more ice now than there was in the middle of summer? You're laughably ignorant. I already posted the evidence proving that NASA thinks you're an idiot too.

10 ^ V . Reply . Share



Ron Hotchkiss → carbonated_turtle • 2 days ago

I see you are starting your typical liberal spin. It is the alarmist (which includes prominent scientist) who predicted ice free or near ice free by 2014. Skeptics don't make outlandish claims. That's why we are skeptics.

But back to the ice. Alarmist like to start their charts and graphs in 1979 (supposedly when satellites were invented as far as climate). Guess what, satellite imagery goes back more than a decade earlier, you know when we had the technology to send men to the moon. If alarmist were honest, they would include that information which showed much less ice in the 60s than today. Only to see the reverse in a couple years. Also, ships records dating back to before 1900 report ocean water (not ice) at the North Pole. Russian subs have taken photos of ocean water at the North Pole in the early 1950s. I suppose my great great grandfathers horse powered suv was responsible for so little summer ice in the arctic 100 years ago. Who's cherry picking here? If you want to use 1979 as a starting point, records show that Antarctica has been cooling since then, not warming, which would explain record ice this year that broke last years record etc.

Let's look at some more outlandish claims from alarmist. Accelerating sea level rise. BS. They use the situation around Miami as an example. Sorry, but "sea level rise" in the Miami area is caused by subsidence. Building on soft limestone and groundwater extraction are the cause. How can the sea rise at Miami and not at any of the dozens of stations from the

see more

3 ^ V . Reply . Share



Bart_R → Ron Hotchkiss • 2 days ago



Sea Level Rise, as monitored by http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.g.... for up to 150 years at up to 128 stations globally, trend doubling decadally from what had a century ago been essentially flat to 2"/century now. On this trajectory, will be 32"/century in under a century.

Except for landfalls in part of the US East Coast, every cyclone basin in the globe reports period doubling at some scale roughly related to basin size. In the Mediterranean, Medicanes have doubled every 15 years since 1950. The Indian Ocean, same at 17 years. In the Atlantic, hurricane season starts and ends weeks earlier and the creche where hurricanes form has doubled in size.

The USA is less than 2% of the surface of the globe, and is locked in the most serious drought since the Dustbowl.

Superstorms globall have gone from once a century prior to 1972's Black Swan Typhoon Tip to now at least one a year.

Since the base for measuring forest fires changed three decades ago, it's impossible to compare fires this generation to previous fires, but every expert in the field agrees they're gotten worse.

You're making crap up.

8 ^ V . Reply . Share >



Ron Hotchkiss → Bart_R · 2 days ago

You are full of bull crap. Sea levels have been rising since the last ice age. It has not accelerated any the last 100 years. The fact that you site locations known for subsidence as proof of sea level rise is dishonest. Making stuff up? Perhaps you should check the tidal gauges and ask yourself why many areas show no rise, or a decrease in some. Sea level rise in certain areas are because natural variations in ocean current and temperature, which can last for decades. Satellite data is not very reliable when you are talking millimeters and adjustments have been made due to errors noted. You have absolutely no clue to what you are talking about on hurricanes by the way, and if you would actually read what you write you would see that you are not even making sense. The atlantic basin is well below normal again this year. Stats available at the NHC.

The way they measure forest fires has not changed. An acre is still an acre. If anything fires should be worse because the clearing of underbrush has been halted by greenies. But they are not. You are the one making things up. Perhaps you should have reviewed the US forestry fire data before you make such a comment. You are way off on the drought too. Most of the country is above normal in rainfall this year with the exception of the west coast, that is because of the lack of warm water in the enso areas of the pacific. Which means no el nino, or no warming of the ocean. Maybe you should have reviewed the palmer drought index before you make such a wild claim.

Good grief, stop with the liberal talking points, which would have the arctic ice free this year. What happened? Polar ice is doing just fine, along with the polar bears

3 ^ V . Reply . Share



Bart_R → Ron Hotchkiss · 2 days ago

Please review International Geological Correlation Programme Projects 61 and 200 on Holocene Sea-Level Changes, and check Fairbridge (1961), Isla (1983), and Nunn & Peltier (2001). Sea levels rose from the glacial maximum ~21,000 years ago to the Holocene Optimum ~3,000-6,000 years ago, according to the best evidence available, before essentially becoming level except for local changes attributable to tectonic shifts. That changed in the past century, when exponential sea level rise started correlated with CO2 level

Tectonics explains why some areas show no rise, and some show decrease, although the global average is rising at an increasing rate with CO2 level both on tidal gauges and satellite, mostly corresponding to thermal expansion of sea water, but also connected to shifts in precipitation, and loss of land ice to the sea. This is confirmed by the massive preponderance of multiple other measurements, such as GRACE and ARGO produce.

Natural variation in ocean circulation cannot account for net SLR, as the whole point of circulation is that it's about the same water just moving where the warm and cold spots are, which can't affect its overall thermal volume.

I'm sanquine about my knowledge of cyclone numbers and period doubling effects, though I

see more

4 ^ V . Reply . Share >



Ron Hotchkiss → Bart_R · a day ago

You clearly don't know what you are talking about. You are showing your political bias again and I was merely pointing that out. As far as the drought out west, you clearly don't know what you are talking about, as the current drought IS related to El Niño, or lack of. The current drought out west now where near matchest the dust bowl days. The percentage of the U.S. suffering from drought is well below that of the dust bowl days, with many areas now above normal in precipitation this year.

I don't know what part total acres burned you don't understand, so I assume you are saying the folks at the forestry Fire data center don't know what they are talking about. It is not the skeptics who are the ones making wild claims about global warming causing world wide havoc, it is the alarmist. Weather related disasters have been quite low lately compared to 50, or even 100 years ago, despite major increases in population. To say that weather related disasters are increasing is simply false. By the way, as the oceans cool, sea levels will stabilize, as tidal gauges on the west coast show us, as water temperatures there continue to be stable. The Southern Hemisphere has even seen a decrease in ocean temperatures this year, as record high sea ice would indicate.

Meanwhile, I'll just sit back and wait for the ice to melt, because top notch scientist told me so.

1 ^ V . Reply . Share



don → Ron Hotchkiss · a day ago

Hahahaha! Ya tell the bit about the West not having a drought like the dustbowl days to my uncle that just had to drop \$1.5million to drill 2000ft wells to water his farm in Bakersfield. Zero snow on the S, W, and SW side of Mt Shasta. Lake Shasta is basically River Shasta now. Then there's this little tidbit: http://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/.... Just because we don't have Grapes of Wrath style migrations of people in the US now, doesn't mean the drought isn't there, it means we have the technology to get the water available, and government programs (wether you agree or disagree with them is moot) to keep people fed, housed and watered.

4 ^ V . Reply . Share



Bart_R → Ron Hotchkiss · a day ago

I'm an apolitical Canadian fiscal conservative with nearly no interest in the politics of my own country and none whatsoever in yours. You tremble at political bogeymen behind every houseplant.

Your arguments based on cherry-picked and blinkered bits of trivia come down to arguing that your pants could not be on fire because there is a large wet patch where they fork.

Fossil fuel waste dumping is a trespass against us without consent or compensation, and however long you sit back in your steaming trousers is immaterial.

3 \land \lor \bullet Reply \bullet Share \flat



Ron Hotchkiss → Bart_R • 14 hours ago

You have no clue to what you are talking about. I would be considered a tree hugger, and have fought tooth and nail to stop the decimation of woodland in my own community for the sake of development. I am involved with roadside clean up projects, as well as animal rescue. I cringe every time a tree is cut down. I own a business in energy management. I also volunteer in my community to show homeowners how to cut energy cost. I have absolutely no love loss for big oil at all. My beef is with people flat out lying about climate and its impending doom, and the government spending billions on failed green energy projects. Germany is the greenest country energy wise, but also has the highest utility rates. Why? Because when solar and wind fail, which is most of the time, they burn wood (a so called renewable) imported from forest in the south east US (my own back yard)...so yeah, call it political if you like, but it is wrong. Perhaps germany should burn their own forest. Go ahead, make another stupid assumption about something you have no clue about.

∧ | ∨ . Reply . Share .



Bart_R → Ron Hotchkiss • 13 hours ago

You cringe? Boo freaking hoo. Is that tree your property? No? Then you really have no right to grieve it, do you?

I too have zero interest in government spending more, on projects that have been greenwashed. But you really are wildly off in fantasia if you think you can name one billion dollar failed legitimately green project anywhere in the world, nevermind billions of dollars worth of them -- unless you mean corn ethanol, which is clearly a scam to stretch gasoline into gasohol while padding the incomes of corn giants.

Your problem is with this Germany: http://www.ise.fraunhofer.de/e... and its use of your waste wood, instead of fossil fuel? Sure, they're in a period of aggressive transition, and the biomass crutch they use to move so fast will run out, which they're planning for in their long-run programs. Boo hoo that they burn your cellulose waste, which you only ever let rot into methane anyway.

Specifically what 'lie' about climate do you say I have made?

What assumption do you believe I make about you?

2 ^ V . Reply . Share



Ron Hotchkiss → Bart_R · 6 hours ago

Off your meds? Or maybe you just have a anger management problem. I agree with you on food for fuel, Germany burns actual wood that could be made into plywood and other products, it is not the US responsibility to supply Germany. Perhaps you should review the tax money offered or spent on failed of fledgling green companies, like Solyndra for starters, but hey they got a really nice spa and gym out of the deal. Solyndra \$529 million, Ecotality \$115 million, abound solar \$70 million, friskar auto \$139 million, the VA has spent \$1.4 billion on green energy, only to have much of that spent on non green projects while veterans struggled to get benefits. Evergreen solar,\$25 million

,beacon power \$43 million, Nevada geothermal,\$98.5 million, sun power \$1.2 billion, first solar \$1.46 billion,Babcock and brown,\$178 million the list goes on and on. The amount of money offered or spent on green energy exceeds \$100 billion. I'm not against a gov loan, or even a grant, as long as jobs are provided. Cost per permanent job to the taxpayers is over \$11 million. If green energy was so good why not let private companies spend their money to make money? My point is we are spending tax payer money on the premise that Co2 is pollution. That is completely absurd.

As far as your assumption about me, go reread your ridiculous "boogy man pants on fire" tirade which has absolutely nothing to do with science of global warming, other than to assume that you are an alarmist.

∧ | ∨ . Reply . Share >



rhjames → Ron Hotchkiss · a day ago

Polar bears have been thriving since limits were put on hunting. Arctic was supposed to disappear by 2013 - didn't happen - in fact it's currently within two standard deviations of "normal".

Sea level has been generally increasing for 20,000 years. They must have had big power stations back then.

∧ | ∨ . Reply . Share .



Abel Adamski → Ron Hotchkiss • 2 days ago

Another view of Arctic Sea Ice and do note the decadal averages

http://www.ijis.iarc.uaf.edu/e...

Also for longer term

https://sites.google.com/site/...

Please DO NOT confuse Arctic or Antarctic permanent land ice which is melting along with the permafrost with sea ice which forms more readily in fresh water from the land ice and permafrost melt

4 ^ | V . Reply . Share



Ron Hotchkiss → Abel Adamski · a day ago

Interesting, I didn't know the ice melted in the vast interior of Antarctica, or Greenland for that matter. It is simply too cold, even in summer. What little does melt in the interior in the summer, either evaporates, or refreezes at night. Ice melt in the interior rarely reaches the sea. Unless the law of physics has changed, ice has a hard time melting at minus 20 degrees. There is plenty of good information at DMI for referencing.

A Ly Donly Share

∧ | ∨ • neply • oliate;



Abel Adamski - Ron Hotchkiss · 16 hours ago

It is interesting what happens in a dynamic environment.

There is Ice melt in Antarctica, there is also heavy snowfall from warmer more humid air. Warmer air over ice exposed to the suns energy does remove some ice, some of the melt runs into small fissures and freezes enlarging the fissures. The larger effect is under the ice as a large part of the West Antarctic is being melted from underneath by the warmer waters. Also having always been volcanically active, as the ice mass decreases the land rises leading to increases in activity. There are more floes being split off to become sea ice. Greenland also has many Fiords full of ice, being melted from beneath. The main factor with Greenlands accelerating ice melt is grey ice, the ash and carbon particulates from the Alaskan and Canadian and California wildfires, they absorb heat on the glacier surface. it also occurs in Siberia and Alaska. A good example - look at the photo's.

http://www.natureworldnews.com...

Positive feedback

http://phys.org/news/2014-07-i...

and very well worth reading

http://phys.org/news/2014-07-g...

identifies key CO2 Concentrations

IMO a major reason for the differing interpretations is simply, Armchair experts and Field based researchers and evidence collectors

3 ^ V . Reply . Share



Ron Hotchkiss Abel Adamski • 15 hours ago

It is called NATURAL variability. Which means there is not much man can do about it. My whole point is that IPCC models have done a poor job. In fact, climate models used by the climate prediction center/ NOAA/ NASA have also done a poor job beyond 2 weeks. Some areas it does better than others, like deserts where weather stays pretty constant. The northern hemisphere record sept snowfall was not because of warmer weather by the way. Nearly all of russia is already snow covered , well ahead of schedule, as record cold grips the region. There have been several stories/ blogs out the last 24 hours blaming the brutal cold in Eurasia on global warming, which is absolutely absurd. The assumption being rapid ice melt in the arctic which is complete bs, as ice in the arctic is at a ten year high and has climbed back into normal range, and snow/ice in Greenland is above normal.

∧ | ∨ . Reply . Share .



edward_james → Ron Hotchkiss · 2 days ago

surface coverage is not volume fool.

2 ^ V . Reply . Share



Ron Hotchkiss → edward_james · a day ago


Antarctic ice VOLUME also set a record this year, is that better? 4 year old ice in the arctic is also increasing

∧ | ∨ . Reply . Share .



jack dale → Ron Hotchkiss · 2 days ago

The cryosphere



5 ^ V . Reply . Share



Ron Hotchkiss → jack dale · 2 days ago

Perhaps you should use updated material. Sunshinehours, dmi have data thru TODAY. world sea ice has been above normal for much of the year, including today. These are based on actual observations and not 4 year old, or computer generated graphs based on MODELS.

lpcc models are a dismal failure, as is anything that comes from them. Not taking solar, ocean cycles , and natural variability into consideration is flawed science



jack dale Ron Hotchkiss · 2 days ago

Perhaps you should read an IPCC report. All three are considered.

6 ^ V . Reply . Share



Ron Hotchkiss → jack dale · a day ago

The IPCC report is what I have a problem with. It makes assumptions based on models, rather than reality.

1 ^ | V . Reply . Share



jack dale → Ron Hotchkiss · a day ago

The IPCC uses models for forecasts. Historical and current data is observed.

The IPCC does consider natural variability, ocean currents and solar cycles.

http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports...

2 ^ | v . Reply . Share



Ron Hotchkiss → jack dale · a day ago

IPCC models are make a lot of claims, as well as excuses for their model failure. I'll make it simple for you, the atmosphere is not cooperating with their model forecast. As of today, Antarctica has set another sea ice record, world sea ice is above normal, again, and the arctic is now seeing ice that has rebounded into the normal range. The sea ice extent does include volume into the formula, not just any ice floating on the water, per DMI, and sunshinehours.

As of today, MOST of the land mass on the globe has temperatures that are BELOW normal, in NASA's "hottest year ever" this is satellite data BEFORE NASA's adjustment.

Oh, and all of a sudden the oceans seem to be cooling too.

Very interesting. Even I am a bit surprised, and certainly the IPCC models didn't predict this 1 A | V - Reply - Share >



jack dale → Ron Hotchkiss · a day ago

2 decades of warming courtesy of John Christy and Roy Spencer



1 ^ | v . Reply . Share



Ron Hotchkiss → jack dale · a day ago

That graph shows cooling since 98. Climate patterns are not linear, also you might want to view the raw data rss on the same site. 18 years, no warming (slight cooling) to put things into perspective. There are no linear bars included, that is a product of your own imagination. Nice try

∧ | ∨ . Reply . Share >

Load more comments

ALSO ON TECHTIMES

WHAT'S THIS?

Wonder what a Star Wars-type laser 'bullet' would look like in real life? ...

1 comment • 15 hours ago

Wyatt — Don't have a clue as to what I am looking at.

Global warming will trigger severe winters in Europe, Asia

11 comments • 11 hours ago

michaelrivero — Back on March 20,2000 the banner headline in the UK Independent was "snowfalls are now

Who'd take a selfie with a hungry grizzly bear? You'd be surprised

Easter Island people weren't so isolated after all: They sailed to the ...

2 comments • 7 hours ago 1 comment • 2 days ago Dena Hamilton — Hey James Maynard - there are NO I am the king - in 1492 columbus sailed the ocean grizzly bears in Lake Tahoe or anywhere in California nor blue... in 1495 his luggage finally arrived. perhaps he Nevada!!! ... should have ...

Add Disqus to your site

Privacy

DISQUS

Sponsored From Around the Web



Controversial "Skinny Pill" Sweeps the Nation



and Relieve Gas and Bloating



A Simple Way to Lose Pounds Do THIS Before Eating Carbs (Every Time)



30 Hottest Female Celebrity Bodies of All Time



A New Site Unmasks People's Celebs You Didn't Know Were Past Enter Any Name & Explore Bisexual





the Weight Loss Industry



This Amazing Drink Is Stunning 30 Child Actors Who Tragically Died Too Young



ENVIRONMENT Sensor plays role in **Puget Sound water** quality monitoring



ENVIRONMENT Obama wants to create world's biggest marine reserve in Pacific Ocean, target illegal fishina



ENVIRONMENT Leonardo DiCaprio pledges \$7 million for ocean conservation projects

Kiribati, a tiny Pacific island, focus of commercial fishing ban

Huge ice structures discovered hiding beneath Greenland ice sheet

California's wolf OR-7 might have found love in Oregon

Japanese eel, a summertime delicacy, now on endangered species 'red list'







TECH TIMES

About Us | Terms & Conditions | Privacy Policy | Contact Us Copyright © 2014 TECHTIMES.com All rights reserved

Subscribe to Tech T

Enter email for weekl